PTO/SB/21 (04-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Uffer the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.								
				Application Number		10/660,389		
TRANSMITTAL FORM			⊢-i	ling Date	09/11		2003	
			First Named Inventor Ab		Abtar	Abtar Singh et al.		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Ar	t Unit	2857			
			Ex	caminer Name	Manu	Manuel L. Barbee		
Total Number of Page		At	torney Docket Number	5264	5264-000003/COB			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)			-	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
☐ Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		☐ AF	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Rep	Petition			☐ Ap	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final			Convert to a Application	Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/declaration(s)			ttorney, Revocation Correspondence Address	Status Letter				
Extension of Time Request		☐ Terminal Disclaimer				Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request				r Refund er of CD(s)	Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85); and			
		The Commissions is to			<u> </u>		nowledgment Postcard	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Response to Parts under 3 1.52 or 1.53								
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C			Attorney Name . Michael Malinzak		Reg 43,7	g. No. 770	
Signature	11.11	0.1						
Date January 6, 2006								
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.								
Typed or printed name Michael Malin		zaak .			Express Ma Label No.	il	EV 717 344 225 US (1/6/2006)	

January 6, 2006 Signature Date This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/660,389

Filing Date:

09/11/2003

Applicant:

Abtar Singh et al.

Group Art Unit:

2857

Examiner:

Manuel L. Barbee

Title:

FOOD QUALITY AND SAFETY MODEL FOR

REFRIGERATED FOOD

Attorney Docket:

5264-000003/COB

Director of The United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

The Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance is respectfully traversed. Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance that are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicants do not necessarily agree with each

statement in the reasons for allowance and specifically disagrees with the narrow

characterization of Applicants' claimed invention.

The record should reflect the following comments concerning the Examiner's

statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe that each of the

claims are patentably distinct over the prior art, Applicants submit that patentability does

not reside solely in the combination of features identified in the Examiner's reasons, or

that each feature or combination of features identified therein is required for

patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of

the claims. Each and every allowed claim is novel and nonobvious due to the

combination of elements contained therein and not due to any single element. Thus,

every element should be interpreted as broadly as claimed with all equivalents.

Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject

matter of each claim, Applicants submit that the failure to address each claim does not

infer that the subject matter thereof fails to present other reasons for allowance apart

from those specifically stated by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 5 may 6,2006

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

P.O. Box 828

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

(248) 641-1600

MM:ca

Serial No. 10/660,389

Page 2 of 2